



WHEN THE FIRST QUESTION IS NOT THE REAL QUESTION

A CA'S LENS ON ASSET STRUCTURING AND FAMILY CONTINUITY

Dr. (H.C) Sandeep N. Setty

In serious business families, the first question is rarely the real question. A founder may ask about a trust when the deeper concern is control. A parent may ask about transferring property when the real issue is fairness between children. A promoter may ask about shareholding when the true risk is whether the business can function without him. For CAs, this distinction matters. Because the first technical answer can either clarify the family's path — or quietly add one more fragment to an already fragmented structure.

The Real Risk Is Often Fragmented Planning

In many affluent families, the danger is not that planning is absent. The danger is that planning is fragmented. The will may be valid. The tax structure may be efficient. The nominations may be updated. The company records may be clean. The banking arrangements may be operational. The family understanding may appear cordial. Yet tax, law, banking, ownership, liquidity, control, and family intent may still be moving in parallel rather than in alignment. That is where continuity risk begins. A family may have documents.

A family may have advisors.

A family may have structures. But if those pieces do not work together during transition, the family is still exposed.

Why the CA Sees It Early

The CA often sees what others see only later. The CA understands the family's entities, tax positions, cash flows, assets, liabilities, guarantees, and business realities. More importantly, the CA often understands behaviour. Who actually decides?

Who only signs.

Who understands the business?

Who depends on the founder.

Which child is active?

Which branch may feel vulnerable?

Where the numbers are clear but the family understanding is not. This gives the CA a significant position.



The CA may not be the drafting lawyer, trustee, banker, valuer, or family governance advisor. But the CA is often the first interpreter of complexity. That first interpretation can determine whether the family receives isolated advice or moves toward coordinated continuity planning.

Wealth Transfer Is Not Continuity

Wealth transfer asks: “Who gets what?” Continuity asks: “Will the family, business, ownership structure, documents, liquidity, and decision-making system work when the transition actually happens?” This distinction is not academic. A technically valid will may not resolve control issues in a family business. A nomination may not reflect the full succession intention. A tax-efficient structure may still create family friction. Equal ownership may not be fair when responsibility is unequal. A company structure may simplify ownership but complicate family expectations. Continuity is tested not when everything is peaceful. It is tested during death, incapacity, dispute, branch separation, next-generation entry, debt pressure, or sudden liquidity need. Most families look organised during normal times. The real question is whether the structure holds during transition.

A Practical Case Pattern

Consider a common business-family situation. A founder has two children. One is active in the business. The other is not. Over time, both have received assets. Some properties are personal. Some assets are held through entities. Some loans are linked to the business. Certain guarantees remain with the founder. Some nominations are updated; others are not. The family believes everything is understood because relationships are cordial. The immediate question may be: “How should we divide assets fairly?” But the deeper questions are different. The active child may feel responsible for the business. The non-active child may expect fairness. The founder may believe family harmony will solve what structure has not.

Should ownership be equal when contribution is unequal?

How will the active child retain business control without creating resentment?

How will the non-active branch receive fairness without weakening the operating business?

Is there liquidity for equalisation or buyout?

Do existing documents reflect the family’s real intention?

What happens if the founder becomes unavailable before the transition is completed?

This is not merely an asset division question. It is a continuity architecture question. If the matter is handled only as a transfer, tax, or documentation, the visible issue may be addressed while the deeper exposure remains.



The First-Conversation Discipline

For CAs, the first conversation need not solve the entire matter. But it should identify the nature of the matter. A mature first conversation should clarify six areas.

1. **The trigger:** Why has the client raised this issue now? Age, health, dispute, next-generation entry, business growth, marriage, liquidity pressure, or succession anxiety can each point to a different underlying concern.
2. **The asset and entity map:** Is the wealth held personally, through companies, LLPs, partnerships, HUFs, trusts, joint ownership, or informal family arrangements? Are there loans, guarantees, inter-family advances, or operating business exposures?
3. **The control reality:** Legal ownership and practical control are often different. The person who owns, the person who signs, the person who decides, and the person who understands may not be the same.
4. **Documentation alignment:** Wills, nominations, shareholder records, partnership deeds, LLP agreements, trust deeds, bank mandates, property records, and family expectations should not be viewed in isolation.
5. **Liquidity readiness:** A family may be asset-rich and still continuity-poor. Transition may require liquidity for equalisation, debt repayment, buyout, spouse security, dependent care, tax costs, legal costs, or business continuity.
6. **The escalation point:** Some matters remain within routine CA guidance. Others require legal drafting, trustee involvement, banking coordination, valuation, governance discussion, or a structured continuity review across professionals.

This discipline protects the client. It also protects the CA. It avoids premature conclusions and helps the family move toward the right professional next step.

Diagnosis Before Instruments

In family wealth matters, there is often a temptation to jump to instruments. Will. Trust. Gift deed. Family arrangement. Company. LLP. HUF. Nomination. Holding structure. Each instrument has its place. But no instrument is automatically the answer. A trust is not a strategy by itself. A will is not complete continuity planning by itself. A nomination is not a succession architecture by itself. Tax efficiency is not the same as family continuity. Legal validity is not the same as practical workability.

The correct question is not: “Which instrument should be used first?” The correct question is: “What continuity problem are we trying to solve?” Only after that can the right structure be selected.



From Isolated Advice to Coordinated Continuity

As Indian business families become more complex, advisory work must also become more coordinated. A serious family may need inputs from the CA, lawyer, banker, trustee, valuer, corporate advisor, insurance specialist, and family decision-makers. But coordination does not happen automatically. Without a single continuity view, each professional may solve one part of the problem while the overall family architecture remains incomplete. A structured continuity review can help convert scattered documents and assumptions into one integrated view of ownership, control, documentation, liquidity, governance, succession exposure, family expectations, and implementation priorities.

The objective is not to make every family structure complex. In many families, simplicity is the right answer. But simplicity must be intentional. A simple will with clean nominations, proper records, family communication, and professional review may be enough for one family. Another family may require deeper structuring, governance, trust planning, business succession design, or liquidity readiness. The right answer depends on the diagnosis.

The CA's Strategic Role

The CA's role is becoming more important, not less. Families are no longer dealing only with accounts and tax returns. They are dealing with operating businesses, multiple entities, personal guarantees, inherited assets, complex family branches, next-generation ambitions, and increasing expectations around fairness, control, and continuity. The CA is often the professional who can see the whole financial picture earliest. That does not mean the CA must become every specialist. It means the CA can guide the first conversation with maturity. The CA can help the family pause before choosing instruments.

The CA can identify whether the concern is tax, documentation, succession, liquidity, governance, or continuity.

The CA can protect the client from fragmented decisions.

The CA can bring the right professionals into the discussion at the right time. That is a powerful advisory position.

Closing Reflection

In serious families, wealth is not tested only by markets. It is tested by transition. It is tested when the founder is unavailable, when documents are interpreted literally, when liquidity is needed urgently, when the next generation must decide, and when family expectations meet legal reality. The visible question may be about a will, trust, gift, tax structure, property transfer, or family arrangement. But the deeper question is whether the family, business, ownership, documents, liquidity, and control system will function when it matters most. That is the continuity question. And when CAs recognise it early, they do more than answer a client query. They protect the architecture through which family wealth survives transition.